IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

NOTICE OF MOTION NO. 462 OF 2006
IN
PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION NO. 1232 OF 2004

Pratibha Naitthani] .. Petitioner

Versus

1. Union of India 13 ors.] .. Respondents

And

Mumbai Grahak Panchayat] .. Intevenor

Mr. M.M. Vashi for the petitioner.

Mr. B.A. Desai, Additional Solicitor General with Mr. Rajiv Chavan and Mr. D.A. Dubey for respondent No.1.

Mr. A.A. Kumbhakoni, Associate Advocate General with Mr. P.M. Mokashi, AGP, for respondents No.2, 12.

Mr. S.P. Kadam i/b M/s. Kadam & Co. for respondents No.3, 7.

Mr. I.M. Chhagla, senior counsel with Mr. L. Pereira and Mr. Anil Menon i/b Mr. Anil Menon for respondent No.4.

Mr. P. James with Mr. A.M. Vernekar for respondents No.8 & 9.

Mr. Janak Dwarkadas, senior counsel with Mr. Mustafa Motiwala and Mr. Ranjit Shetty i/b M/s. PDS Legal for respondent No.5.

Mr. D.D. Madon, senior counsel with Mr. H.N. Thakore and Ms. Agnes Bharidia i/b M/s. Thakore Jariwala & Associates for Intervenor.

Mr. V.A. Rathi for Intervenor.

Mr. Sandeep Parekh with Mr. S.K. Chaturvedi and Savita Kusha i/b M/s. Chaturvedi & Associates for Intervenor.

Mr. Shirish Deshpande for applicant in Notice of Motion No. 462 of 2006.

Ms. Rina Pujari for Intervenor.

Mr. Pradeep Sancheti i/b M/s. DSK Legal for respondent - Sahara India - Intervenor.

CORAM: R.M. LODHA &
S.A. BOBDE, JJ.

DATED: 23RD AUGUST, 2006

P.C. :

. This Notice of Motion is at the instance of Mumbai Grahak Panchayat through its Vice Chairman Shirish V. Deshpande.

2. Looking to the controversy involved in this Public Interest Litigation and for the reasons stated in the Affidavit in support of the Notice of Motion, we are satisfied that the applicant may be permitted to intervene in the matter.

3. Notice of Motion is granted accordingly.

Sd/-
[R.M. LODHA, J.]

Sd/-
[S.A. BOBDE, J.]